

<b>Application No.</b> 10/736,013	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BOLDINGH, EDWIN P.	
<b>Examiner</b> Thuan D. Dang	<b>Art Unit</b> 1764	

**Status of Application:** *Mr.*

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
*all*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Haag et al (4,097,543) and Gajda et al (6,114,592)*

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Piasecki is informed that claims 15-21 are allowable. Claims 1-14 (non-elected) can be rejected over Haag et al in consideration with Gajda et al as well as double patenting rejections over several conflicting patent. Applicants agree to cancel claims 1-14 so that claims 15-21 can be set the condition of allowance.